	TATES BANKRUPTCY COURT RICT OF NEW JERSEY
IN RE: JOSEPH J. RUPLE and JULIA V. RUPLE, f/k/a JULIA V. PASHKOVSKAYA)) Bankruptcy Action) Case No.: 08-2744))
FIA CARD SERVICES, N.A., formerly MBNA AMERICA BANK, N.A. Plaintiff,	ADV: 08-02720-GMB))))
v. JULIA V. PASHKOVSKAYA, Defendant.)) Camden, New Jersey) May 18, 2009
BEFORE THE	NSCRIPT OF RULING HONORABLE GLORIA M. BURNS ES BANKRUPTCY COURT JUDGE
For the Plaintiff:	KENNETH S. JANNETTE, ESQUIRE Weinstein & Riley 14 Penn Plaza, Suite 1300 New York, New York 10122
For the Defendant:	WILLIAM MACKIN, ESQUIRE William Mackin, Esquire, PC 105 North Broad Street P.O. Box 304 Woodbury, NJ 08096
Audio Operator:	Mary Lampone
Transcribed by:	DIANA DOMAN TRANSCRIBING P.O. Box 129 Gibbsboro, New Jersey 08026-129 PHONE: (856)435-7172 FAX: (856) 435-7124 Email: Dianadoman@comcast.net

Proceedings recorded by electronic sound recording; transcript produced by transcription service.

Page 2 1 (Call to the Order of the Court) 2 THE COURT: FIA Card Services v. Pashkovskaya. 3 Appearances. 4 MR. MACKIN: Very good, Your Honor. 5 THE COURT: Close. MR. MACKIN: William Mackin, representing defendant 6 7 Julia Pashkovskaya, now known as Julia Ruple. 8 MR. JANNETTE: Good morning, Your Honor. Weinstein & 9 Riley by Kenneth Jannette for the plaintiff FIA Card Services, 10 N.A. 11 THE COURT: Was that Janette? 12 MR. JANNETTE: I'm sorry? 13 THE COURT: Your last name. 14 MR. JANNETTE: Jannette. 15 THE COURT: Jannette. All right. You know when I saw this come on the calendar, I should have done this by 16 17 telephone conference. And I, you know, I saw it and it was 18 already on the schedule for this morning. 19 MR. MAKIN: Okay. 20 I thought it was in the afternoon on THE COURT: 21 telephone conference. So I'm sorry that everybody had to wait 22 here for the time that we did this morning. 23 MR. JANNETTE: It has been an action-packed morning 24 here in Court. 25 THE COURT: Well you're welcome anytime that you want

Ruling Page 3

to -- all right, this is a very difficult matter, which I think you can tell by the times that I've adjourned this and asked for additional documentation and briefing.

You know, we find ourselves in a kind of unusual situation with the way that the financial markets have conducted themselves over the last, I don't know, year, couple of years.

And it brings us to the matter, and I certainly think it's -- it's in the debtor's interests for Mr. Mackin to bring a matter before the Court, if he thinks that there's an appropriate standing issue that needs to be brought up before the Court.

And it's certainly not as clear as a bell, because of the way that the parties conducted themselves during the course of the time.

However, I am somewhat persuaded by the <u>Greer v.</u>

O'Dell matter in the Eleventh Circuit, which is similar to the matter before the Court, but somewhat different.

It involves a different set of circumstances. The transfer and assignment of accounts, as opposed to the -- just the securitization and further retention of the account, as we have in our case before us.

But I think that the Eleventh Circuit's reasoning is something that the Court can look at, as to whether there's standing for a servicer to come before the Court, and whether

Ruling Page 4

they have an interest enough to give them standing, and proceeding in a matter to bring an adversary against the debtor, as it is in this case.

And in the <u>Greer v. O'Dell</u> matter, the Court finds that the real dispute is on the standing issue. Because there really isn't any factual dispute with the witnesses that have testified, similar to our -- in our case.

Because the debtor doesn't really have an expert to say whether their factual procedures are different. It's really a legal issue. Is there a legal basis for standing that FIA has with regard to this case.

And in this case, FIA holds the account and securitized the receivables from that account. Kind of a virtual securitization that somebody in their infinite wisdom came up with. But the fact of the matter is, FIA still retains the account, and still retains the right to collect and proceed on that account on behalf of the trust that it securitized it by.

And I think that is enough to come within the frame work set forth by the Eleventh Circuit in <u>Greer v. O'Dell</u>.

We're just telling it -- it indicates that the real person -- real party interest principal is meant to identify the person who possesses the right sought to be enforced. And in that case, Max Flow possessed those rights.

Where Max Flow is obligated as a servicer to file a

Ruling Page 5

proof of claim on MBNA's behalf, and retain counsel to defend it, to collect payments, and to perform administrative services with respect to the claim, gave them enough.

I know that Mr. Mackin brought out the fact that the right to bring the action is in the hands of a creditor.

Creditor is broadly -- is defined as a party that has a claim.

Claim is broadly defined. The Eleventh Circuit looks at the matter and determines that it's broad -- claim is broad enough to encompass the servicer, to give them the rights to come into Court, sufficient standing to defend the claim and take all required action on behalf of that claim.

I think the same standing argument can be made and is made in this case, that by being a servicer, holding the account and having the rights to collect that account on behalf, and having a payment entitlement by virtue of its fees in that case, is sufficient to give standing to FIA Card Services to appear as the plaintiff in this case.

And, therefore, I'm going to deny the motion to dismiss filed by the debtor defendant, and I would ask you, Mr. Jannette, to submit an order in accordance with that.

Thank you for the work that you did in this case, on both sides, which was extensive.

MR. MACKIN: Thank you, Your Honor.

MR. JANNETTE: Thank you, Your Honor.

(Court adjourned)

	Document Page 6 of 6
	Page 6
1	* * * *
2	CERTIFICATION
3	I, Josette Jones, court approved transcriber, certify that the
4	foregoing is a correct transcript from the official electronic
5	sound recording of the proceedings in the above-entitled
6	matter.
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	<u>/s/Josette Jones</u> <u>05/20/09</u>
12	JOSETTE JONES DATE
13	DIANA DOMAN TRANSCRIBING
14	